



BC Quality of Life After Bladder Cancer

Susan Catt¹, Sally Appleyard², Isobelle Coombes², Heather Gage³, Morro Touray³, Ashok Nikapota² ¹SHORE-C, Brighton & Sussex Medical School, ²Brighton & Sussex University Hospitals NHS Trust, ³University of Surrey



Brighton and Sussex MIS

University Hospitals

BACKGROUND

Bladder cancer is the 10th most common cancer in the UK. Around 10,000 new cases are diagnosed yearly. Strongly associated with smoking, it is 3-4 times more prevalent in men. Mean age at diagnosis is 70yrs and co-morbidities are common amongst these patients.

USUAL TREATMENT

- Surgical removal of the bladder has been standard care for a long time after which: \succ most patients have urinary diversion with a tube to a bag on the abdomen (stoma)
- > a small number of patients have a new bladder (neo-bladder) constructed
- Radiotherapy is used for those unfit for surgery or who wish to keep their bladder

OUTCOMES

PRIMARY – quality of life (FACT-Bladder⁴)

SECONDARY - fear of cancer recurrence, overall survival & disease recurrence (local & distant), treatment related side-effects (patient & clinician reported), financial impacts

Assessment schedule	Baseline	2 nd Baseline	End of treatment	Follow-up after surgery or radiotherapy				
	before treatment	after chemo		3 month	6 month	9 month	12 month	24 month
FACT-BL ⁴	\checkmark	\checkmark			\checkmark		\checkmark	\checkmark
Fear of Recurrence scale (Kornblith ⁵)	only some items						✓	✓
EQ-5D-5L	\checkmark	\checkmark		\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Service use questionnaire	\checkmark			✓	✓	\checkmark	\checkmark	
Clinical data CRF	\checkmark		\checkmark				\checkmark	\checkmark

Chemotherapy now instituted for a 1/3rd of patients prior to surgery or radiotherapy

UNCERTAINTY

- ✤ By 2007 a top question for UK Urology = "WHICH TREATMENT IS BEST?"
- 2010 SPARE RCT (surgery Vs radiotherapy) closed due to failure to recruit¹
- Meanwhile survival data appears increasingly similar for the two treatments
- and NICE recommends patients are given the choice between them²
- ✤ But there remains a lack of comparative data to enable informed choice³

AIMS

- Investigate quality of life after treatment to:
- > aid decision-making for patients & their families
- > enable the clinical community to better support patient choice

ELIGIBILITY

- Patients with muscle invasive bladder cancer:
- \succ newly diagnosed or following a history of non-invasive disease

Fr	nglish ver	Questionnaire sion for the UK Study Use	0-5D-5L		The best he ou can ima	agine	
	We woul TODAY					100 95 90	
FACT-Bl (Version 4)	FEARS ABOUT CANCER (FOR SCALE – Kornblith 1997)						
ow is a list of statements that other people with your illness have said are important. Plea mark one number per line to indicate your response as it applies to the past 7 days.	Listed below are a number of statements concerning cancer patients' beliefs about having had cancer. In thinking about the <i>past week</i> , please indicate how much you agree or disagree with each statement: Strongly Agree, Agree, Not Certain, Disagree, or Strongly Disagree. <i>[Please circle the number of your answer.]</i>						
PHYSICAL WELL-BEINGNotA littleSome-Quiteat allbitwhata bit	Very much		itrongly Agree Agree	Not	Disagree	Strongly	

21	I have a lack of energy	0	1	2	3	4	
2	I have nausea	0	1	2	3	4	

oortain, bioagree, or otrongly bioagree. It lease circle the number of your answer.j									
Statement	Strongly Agree	Agree	Agree Certain		Strongly Disagree				
 Because cancer is unpredictable, I feel I cannot plan for the future. 	1	2	3	4	5				

STATUS TO-DATE

✤ 35 sites open in the UK

✤ 175/376 recruited at 12 Feb' 2020 (target = 188 per cohort)

- > commencing treatment with curative intent
- \succ equally eligible for surgery or radiotherapy
- English language sufficient to complete questionnaires

METHODS

- Prospective, longitudinal, observational study
- Contemporaneous comparative 2 cohort design (surgery Vs radiotherapy)
- Patient reported outcomes collected using validated questionnaires
- Clinical outcomes captured with CRFs completed by clinical staff at NHS sites
- Health Economic evaluation undertaken by University of Surrey



Health economics targeted to recruit 150 with 135 already entered

Those enrolled are between 34-89yrs (mean 72yrs); 78% male, 22% female

There have been 8 deaths and 18 withdrawals



FINAL REMARKS

Recruitment is projected to close Dec' 2020

✤ A qualitative interview study complementing the Q-ABC data has already

been completed by Dr Sally Appleyard as part of her MD

References:

- 1. Huddart RA et al. and the SPARE Trial Management Group. BJU Int. 2010; 106(6): 753-5.
- Bladder cancer: diagnosis and management. NICE guideline. Published 25 February 2015. Nice.org.uk/guidance/ng2
- Nikapota et al. Clin Oncol (R Coll Radiol). 2016;28(6): 373-5.
- Degboe et al. Support Care Cancer 2019; 27(11): 4189-4198.
- Greenberg DB, Kornblith et al. *Cancer* 1997; 80: 1935-1944.

Acknowledgments: We thank the patients participating, the members of our patient experience group, staff at the recruiting sites and the study funders Roche Pharmaceuticals, Varian Medical Systems, Sussex Cancer Fund and Fight Bladder Cancer.

Correspondence: S.L.Catt@sussex.ac.uk