How to keep active with cancer?

Cancer survivors' experiences of a tailored exercise programme CUFITTERTM: results of an explorative survey

Helena Harder¹, Susan Catt¹, Jan Sheward², Erica Sheward² and Lesley Fallowfield¹

¹Sussex Health Outcomes Research and Education in Cancer (SHORE-C), Brighton & Sussex Medical School, UK ²Cancer UnitedTM (charity no. 1155747), UK

Background

Cancer will affect 4 million people in the UK by 2030.¹ Growing evidence suggests that regular physical activity (PA) or exercise may reduce treatment-related symptoms and risk of cancer recurrence, and improve recovery, quality of life and survival rates.^{2,3} However, PA levels often decline following a cancer diagnosis and adherence to the UK PA guidelines (150 minutes of moderate intensity activity per week) is low.⁴ Disease and treatment side-effects, older age, and lack of knowledge or confidence are frequently identified as barriers to exercise.^{5,6} Other factors include low referral rates, lack of patient education or advice from healthcare professionals (HCPs).^{7,8} Supervised PA programmes tailored to individual needs and preferences could support and motivate cancer survivors to become or stay more active.



Methods

Study aim: to describe the experiences of people attending CUFITTERTM, a tailored programme for those in cancer recovery. It offers various classes and 1-to-1 sessions with qualified trainers at low cost in a specially designed facility or at venues visited by trainers (pop-up gyms). Study design: survey with convenience sampling examining demographic/health status, exercise awareness, information provision, previous/current PA levels (Godin-Shephard questionnaire⁹),

barriers/benefits to PA, lifestyle changes, future intentions, and use of wearable technology.



Results

- ★ 60 surveys were evaluable of 67/100 returned
- **\star Sample characteristics** 60% female, 68% >60 years, 62% partnered, 47% \geq sixth form education, 15% employed, 66% breast or prostate cancer, 67% on cancer treatment (10%) chemotherapy, 48% hormone therapy), 62% comorbidity (top 3: hypertension, arthritis, diabetes)
- **Exercise awareness and information provision -**
 - 52% were aware of UK guidelines for PA
 - 57% received verbal advice from their specialist nurse, 32%; hospital doctor, 23%; GP, 12%
 - 74% read information about exercise leaflets from cancer charities: Macmillan, 32%; Cancer



Research UK, 25%; or the hospital, 17%

- 32% used the internet to search for exercise information, mainly charity websites
- ★ CUFITTERTM use -
 - information about programme provided by HCPs, 38%; advertising, 20%; friends, 15%
 - 68% attended classes for \leq 6 months, usually once (48%) or twice (37%) per week
 - 75% travel \leq 5 miles to classes
 - main reasons for joining: to improve health after treatment, 54%; to access knowledgeable trainers, 23%; to exercise with people in a similar situation, 20%
- **Self reported PA levels** PA levels were higher ($p \le 0.05$), and frequency of strenuous exercise increased (p < 0.01) since joining the programme
- **Barriers and benefits of PA** main barrier: physical impact of cancer/cancer treatment, 35%; main benefit: regaining/improving health or fitness, 42%
- ★ Healthier lifestyle 67% made other lifestyle changes: healthy eating, 70%; stress management, 35%; alcohol reduction, 25%
- **Future PA plans** stay active, 48%; increase PA, 33%; extend/resume PA to other facilities, 15%
- ★ Use of technology -
 - 25% used a digital fitness tool (wearables, pedometers) to track PA or monitor progress



53% would be interested in using these tools in the future •

Conclusions

- **★** Exercise as a standard part of cancer care via social prescribing needs further investigation
- **★** Tailoring exercise to individual needs and having easily available community-based programmes may engage and support people with cancer to stay active
- * Development of formal PA guidelines for this population needs to be prioritised

References: 1. Maddams et al, 2012, DOI:10.1038/bjc.2012.366; 2. Mishra et al, 2012b, DOI:10.1002/ 14651858.CD008465.pub2; **3.** Lahart et al, 2015, DOI: 10.3109/0284186X.2014.998275; **4.** Blaney et al, 2010, DOI:10.2522/ptj.20090278; **5.** Blaney et al, 2013, DOI:10.1002/pon.2072; **6.** Fisher et al, 2016, DOI:10.1007/ s00520-015-2860-0; 7. Daley et al, 2008, DOI:10.1186/1479-5868-5-46; 8. Smith et al, 2017, DOI:10.111/ecc. 12641; 9. Godin and Shephard, Can J Appl Sport Sci 1985, 10:141-146

Acknowledgements: this project was collaboratively initiated by SHORE-C researchers and CUFITTERTM - we would like to thank all CUFITTERTM members for completing the survey

More information: h.harder@sussex.ac.uk www.cufitter.co.uk http://shore-c.sussex.ac.uk/

